| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | को निर्धारित अवधि में जमा करने में असफल रहा और 4-5-92 को जमा कराया जिससे 85 दिन का विलम्ब हो गया। विलम्ब की अवधि को माफ करने और विलम्बित भुगतान के नियमितिकरण हेतु मामला पहले ही सक्षम प्राधिकार को भेजा जा चुका है। भूखण्ड का कब्जा उसके बाद ही सौंपा जायेगा। |
| 3 | बी-3/123 | श्री वी० पी० तिवारी पुत्र श्री बद्री प्रसाद तिवारी, श्रीमती मुन्नी तिवारी पत्नी श्री वी० पी० तिवारी सी-496, गली नं० 22 भजनपुरा दिल्ली। | इस भूखण्ड के ऊपर बिजली की तारें हैं और तार को हटाने के लिए डेसू द्वारा कार्रवाई की जा रही है। उसके पश्चात् ही भूखण्ड का कब्जा बोलीदाता को सौंपा जायेगा। |

**Light Rail Transit System for Hyderabad**

3518. SHRI SOM PAL : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether there is proposal under active consideration of the Government to provide the city of Hyderabad with a Light Rail Transit System;

(b) if so, what are the details of the project and by when it is expected to become operative;

(c) what are the other cities of India which are being considered for introducing such mass transport system;

(d) whether the national capital territory of Delhi too is likely to have a mass rapid rail transport;

(e) if so what are the details thereof and what steps have so far been initiated in this regard; and

(f) if not the reasons therefore ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON) : (a) and (b) A Feasibility Study conducted by M/s. RITES has recommended the introduction of Light Rail Transport System as follows:—

1. Balanagar—Khairatabad Phase—I—9.549 kms.
2. Khairatabad--Charminar Phase-II--7.00 kms.
3. M. J. Market—Dilsukhnagar Phase-III—5.999 kms.

Total : 22.548 kms.

The total cost of the project is estimated at Rs. 585 crores at 1992-93 prices. The proposal has been approved by the Govt, of India. A Joint Stock Company called the Urban Mass Transport Company (UMTC) has been set up and the implementation ot the Hydedabad LRTS project has been enntsusted to the company. The company has started functioning and will raise major part of the financial resources for the project from the capital market/private sector in the absence of indentified funding sources and the financial requirements for the project being huge, it is premature Ho indicate a time frame for the completion of the project.

(c) For the cities of Calcutta and Madras a rail based mass transport system is under construction by the Ministry of Railways. Similarly the Bombay Urban Transport Project-II has a Suburban Rail Project compact which is to be implemented by Railways with the project cost being shared between Ministry of Railways and Government of Maharashtra. For Bangalore a Feasibility Study is being got conducted by the Government of Karnataka which would recommend the type of MRTS suitable for the city.

(d) to (f) A Feasibility Study was got conducted by M/s, RITES which recommended introduction of a Mass Rapid Transport System as follows:—

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Underground Metro | 27.0 kms. |
| 2. Surface Rail . . | 140.0 kms. |
| 3. Dedicated Busway . | 17.5 kms. |
| TOTAL : | 184.5 kms. |

The total cost of the project at 1992-93 prices is Rs. 7500 crores approximately. The proposals relating to financial package & institutional mechanisms for implementing the project have been formulated but no final decision on the Project has been taken so far.

**Improvement of Horticulture Activities by CPWD in Delhi**

3519. PROF. VIJAY KUMAR MALHOTRA : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether horticulturally common Delhi, has been neglected in comparison to the Delhi of VVIPs and VIPs ;

(b) if so, the reasons thereof ;

(c) the steps taken or proposedto be taken to improve the horticulture activities by the CPWD to combat the rising pollution

(d) whether the grass in the lawns/ open space/parks is neither being grown nor is the same being cut at intervals ;

(e) whether the hedges are also neither being planted/grown nor are being trimmed wherever in existence; and

(f) if so, the reasons thereof and the steps taken to improve the existing state of affairs?

95-M/J(N)19RSS—23

, THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE ! MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP- MENT (SHRI P. K. THUNGON) : (a) Horticulturally common Delhi has not been neglected in so far as the Central P.W.D. is concerned.

(b) Does not arise in view of reply to part (a) above.

(c) With a view to improving the environmental conditions, the Central P.W.D. is carrying out tree/shrubs plantation programme every year in various areas under its jurisdiction.

(d) and (e) No, Sir. It has been reported by the CPWD that grass is cut and watered at regular intervals and the lawns are maintained properly. Similarly, hedges are regolarly triamed, hedges are planted in gaps between hedges wherever necessary.

(f) All efforts are made by the CPWD lo maintain the lawns, parks and open spaces to a desired standard.

**Freezing New Construction in New Delhi Area**

3520. SHRI INDER KUMAR GUJRAL : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether Governments attention -has been drawn to the write-up in the Timet of India dated 11th February, 1994 re-garding soggestions made by the PHD